



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,953	06/28/2001	Thomas M. Stephany	82999PCW	6278

7590 11/18/2004

Thomas H. Close
Patent Legal Staff
Eastman Kodak Company
343 State Street
Rochester, NY 14650-2201

EXAMINER,

TRAN, ELLEN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2134

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/893,953

Applicant(s)

STEPHANY ET AL.

Examiner

Ellen C Tran

Art Unit

2134

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>27 Jan 2003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: original application filed 28 June 2001.
2. Claims 1-8 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1 and 5 are independent claims.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because they are handwritten and the text is unclear. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended". If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language

5. **Claims 1-8** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carr et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,788,800 (hereinafter ‘800).

As to independent claim 1, “A method for authenticating playback of animated content of an object, the method comprising the steps of:” is taught in ‘800 col. 2, lines 20-26

“The following section describe a system and related methods for authenticating products.

While the description illustrates the system with an example of packaged software product, it applies to a variety of types of objects. There are two principal parts of the product authentication architecture: 1) a system for embedding authentication data into the product; and 2) a system for authenticating, the product”;

“(a) receiving a wire mesh having a plurality of line segments for describing the object” is shown in ‘800 col. 7, lines 49-58 “Another way is to scramble the location of the watermark or the relationship between different parts of the watermark using a cryptographic function. For example, the watermark may be replicated in blocks of an image, where each block encodes a similar payload, yet encodes that payload in a different manner based on a secret key”;

“(b) receiving texture data which describes a covering for the wire mesh” is disclosed in ‘800 col. 3, lines 22-29 “Alternatively, the watermark may be encoded using clear inks that modulate the microtopology of the product’s surface ... Alternative machine readable codes may be used as well, such as data glyphs, invisible bar code, etc.”;

“(c) receiving movement data for directing movement of the wire mesh” is taught in ‘800 col. 5, lines 27-46 “The application of the authentication system to the registration and installation of software and embedded system may be extended more generally to many forms of digital content, such as software, music, movie ... In each of these applications, the authentication method and system is similar. The digital content being authenticated may be packaged on a variety of storage media”

“(d) receiving a decrypted version of the movement data” is shown in ‘800 col. 6, lines 8-23 “The embedded data and product identifier entered by the user may be used to form a key to decrypt data supplied in or by the product (e.g., software or multimedia content stored on a CD, DVD, etc.) ”

“(e) comparing the movement data and encrypted movement data for verifying that the movement data is substantially the same as the encrypted movement data which verification determines security status of the animated object; and (f) indicating first and second levels of security status for indicating a result of the comparison step” is disclosed in ‘800 col. 6, line 51 through col. 7, line 16 “The security of the embedded data can be enhanced through the use of copy detection technology. Copy detection technology can be used to detect whether a counterfeiter has made a copy of the object bearing the embedded security data ... An example of copy detection technology is a “fragile watermark”. The watermark is called fragile

Art Unit: 2134

because the strength of the watermark signal in a copy of the watermarked original object is less than the strength of the original object. To detect copying, the embedded data decoder attempts to detect the fragile watermark. If the fragile watermark is not present, or has a measured strength that falls below a threshold, then the decoder deems the object to be an invalid copy”.

As to dependent claim 2, “further comprising receiving an encrypted version of the texture data and comparing the texture data and the encrypted version of the texture data” is taught in ‘800 col. 6, line 51 through col. 7, line 16

As to dependent claim 3, “ further comprising receiving an encrypted version of the wire mesh and comparing the wire mesh and the encrypted version of the wire mesh” is shown in ‘800 col. 6, line 51 through col. 7, line 16.

As to dependent claim 4, “further comprising indicating a third security indicator which indicates that origin is uncertain, and wherein step (f) includes indicating the first security level as originating from the predetermined source and the second security level as originating from a source other than the predetermined source” is shown in ‘800 col. 6, line 51 through col. 7, line 16.

As to independent claim 5, this claim is directed to the player of the method of claim 1 and is rejected along similar rationale.

As to dependent claims 6-8, these claims are substantially similar to claims 2-4; therefore they are rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen C Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3842. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A Morse can be reached on (571) 272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ellen Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2134
09 November 2004



GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100